

# Mercer Law Review

---

Volume 73  
Number 2 *Lead Articles Edition*

Article 2

---

3-2022

## Editor's Note

Mary Elizabeth Loftus  
*Mercer University School of Law*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.mercer.edu/jour\\_mlr](https://digitalcommons.law.mercer.edu/jour_mlr)

---

### Recommended Citation

Loftus, Mary Elizabeth (2022) "Editor's Note," *Mercer Law Review*. Vol. 73 : No. 2 , Article 2.  
Available at: [https://digitalcommons.law.mercer.edu/jour\\_mlr/vol73/iss2/2](https://digitalcommons.law.mercer.edu/jour_mlr/vol73/iss2/2)

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at Mercer Law School Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mercer Law Review by an authorized editor of Mercer Law School Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [repository@law.mercer.edu](mailto:repository@law.mercer.edu).

## EDITOR'S NOTE

Each year the Mercer Law Review hosts a legal symposium and publishes symposium materials in the *Lead Articles Edition*. This seventy-third edition, "Social Media Platforms and Free Expression," includes articles addressing the Internet's increasing domination and impact on everyday American citizens, specifically the implications of social media on the First Amendment right to freedom of expression. There are six articles from acclaimed professors located in regions across the United States as well as in international regions in this Edition. I would like to thank each of them for their diligent efforts to write meaningful articles on the impact social media has on our freedom of expression.

I would also like to extend a thank you to our commentators at the symposium: Professor Jon M. Garon, Professor Margaret Hu, Professor Ronnell Andersen Jones, Doctor András Koltay, Professor Seth Oranburg, Professor Sue Painter-Thorne, Professor Eric Segall, Professor Gary Simson, and Professor Russel Weaver. Your advocacy and proposed solutions for social media regulations were intriguing and left our attendees feeling inspired to learn more and to take action.

Mercer Law Review faculty and staff also deserve recognition. Specifically, I would like to thank our very own Professor Gary Simson, who helped me organize this year's symposium. Professor Simson's leadership in the Southeastern Association of Law Schools was essential to the success of this edition. Additional thanks are extended to Dean Karen Sneddon, Professor Sue Painter-Thorne, and Professor Sharon Bradley for their continued support and encouragement of the Mercer Law Review.

I would also like to recognize the Mercer Law Review members for their hard work throughout the editing process. Specifically, I would like to thank Josh Slovin, Wes Rahn, Elliza Guta, Avery Hart Hayes, and Emma Duke, whose articles appear in this year's edition. Additionally, I would like to thank E. Tate Crymes as well as all Mercer Law Review members that helped plan and execute a successful Symposium event.

Finally, I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to the Mercer Law Review Volume 73 Editorial Board. Especially, Editor-in-Chief Shreya Shah and Administrative Editor Hailey Morton for their

*EDITOR'S NOTE*

assistance in promoting this year's symposium and helping me throughout the planning process of both the symposium and this year's *Lead Articles Edition*.

Mercer Law Review understands that the topic of regulating the Internet can become inherently charged with political tension, especially when such regulation is posed to change social media platforms. However, Mercer Law Review does not support one political view over another. Instead, Mercer Law Review seeks to open the door for the necessary discourse involved in finding a successful solution to balance the free use of the Internet with our fundamental freedoms.

**Mary Elizabeth Loftus**

*Lead Articles Editor, Volume 73*