

Mercer Law Review

Volume 62
Number 3 *Lead Articles Edition - The Brain
Sciences in the Courtroom*

Article 1

5-2011

Table of Contents

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.mercer.edu/jour_mlr

Recommended Citation

(2011) "Table of Contents," *Mercer Law Review*: Vol. 62 : No. 3 , Article 1.

Available at: https://digitalcommons.law.mercer.edu/jour_mlr/vol62/iss3/1

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at Mercer Law School Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mercer Law Review by an authorized editor of Mercer Law School Digital Commons. For more information, please contact repository@law.mercer.edu.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LEAD ARTICLES EDITION

The Brain Sciences in the Courtroom: A Symposium of the Mercer Law Review

Foreword: The Brain Sciences and Criminal Law Norms	<i>Theodore Y. Blumoff</i>	705
--	----------------------------	-----

Transcript: The Brain Sciences in the Courtroom

Morning Session <i>featuring</i> Oliver Goodenough and Richard Elliott, <i>Moderated by</i> Morris Hoffman; Abigail Baird and Theodore Blumoff, <i>Moderated by</i> Linda Hensel; Neal Feigenson and Francis Shen, <i>Moderated by</i> Stephen Morse		
Afternoon Session <i>featuring</i> Julie Seaman, Edward J. Imwinkelried; Susan Bandes and Amanda Pustilnik, <i>Moderated by</i> Sarah Gerwig-Moore; Kathryn Kase and Emily Paavola, <i>Moderated by</i> Tim Floyd; Stephen Morse and Morris Hoffman, <i>Moderated by</i> Gary J. Simson		769

Articles

Avoiding Irrational NeuroLaw Exuberance: A Plea for Neuromodesty	<i>Stephen J. Morse</i>	837
Brain Scans as Evidence: Truths, Proofs, Lies, and Lessons	<i>Francis X. Shen</i> <i>Owen D. Jones</i>	861
Functional Magnetic Resonance Detection of Deception: Great as Fundamental Research, Inadequate as Substantive Evidence	<i>Charles Adelsheim</i>	885
Life, Death, and Neuroimaging: The Advantages and Disadvantages of the Defense's Use of Neuroimages in Capital Cases—Lessons from the Front	<i>John H. Blume</i> <i>Emily C. Paavola</i>	909

Neuropsychiatry in the Courtroom	<i>Richard L. Elliott</i>	933
Neuroscience Basics for Lawyers	<i>Oliver R. Goodenough</i> <i>Micaela Tucker</i>	945
Serendipitous Timing: The Coincidental Emergence of the New Brain Science and the Advent of an Epistemological Approach to Determining the Admissibility of Expert Testimony . .	<i>Edward J. Imwinkelried</i>	959
Ten Legal Dissonances	<i>Morris B. Hoffman</i>	989

Casenotes

Schoolhouse Rock: Lessons of Homosexual Tolerance in <i>Keeton v. Anderson-Wiley</i> from the Classroom to the Constitution	<i>Billie Pritchard</i>	1011
Taking a Bite Out of Speech Regulation: The Supreme Court Upholds First Amendment Protection for Depictions of Animal Cruelty in <i>United States v. Stevens</i>	<i>J. Matthew Barnwell</i>	1031
TABLE OF CASES		1051



Pictured at the Mercer Law Review Symposium *The Brain Sciences in the Courtroom* on October 22, 2010 in row one from left to right: Theodore Blumoff, Kathryn Kase, Susan Bandes, Morris Hoffman, and Courtney Ferrell. In row two: Bowen Reichert, Emily Paavola, Amanda Pustilnik, Abigail Baird, and Oliver Goodenough. In row three: Tim Floyd, Francis Shen, Richard Elliott, and Neal Feigenson.

